Targeted Transportation Programs for Livable Communities: Lessons from Three Pennsylvania Programs

Mark L. Stout

September 7, 2011

Conference on Performance Measures for Transportation and Livable Communities

Mark L. Stout Consulting



Three Pennsylvania programs

- Philadelphia MPO TCDI
- PennDOT PCTI
- Lancaster County MPO SGT



Philadelphia MPO (DVRPC)

Transportation and Community Develoment Initiative (TCDI)



Phila MPO TCDI - background

- DVRPC has a vigorous smart growth approach
- TCDI started in 2002 to help communities support revitalization
- More than \$10 million invested
- FY 2012: \$1.2 million in PA and \$1.0 million in NJ



Phila MPO TCDI - program elements

- Eligible areas: core cities, developed/mature communities, identified centers, environmental justice areas
- Eligible projects: planning and early design; must show transportation improvement and support redevelopment
- Plan requirement: consistency with DVRPC plan and other plans
- Scoring criteria: direct relationship of transportation network, encourages revitalization, plan consistency

Phila MPO TCDI - experience

DVRPC has published periodic program evaluation reports

- Some project highlights:
 - √ 13% of projects involved planning or zoning changes
 - ✓ 20% or projects involved TOD
- Some lessons learned:
 - Success needs local leadership, agency partnership,community involvement, innovative planning

PennDOT

Pennsylvania Community Transportation Initiative (PCTI)



PennDOT PCTI - background

- PennDOT had a strong smart growth orientation
- Initiative launched to promote a project-driven vision of smart transportation
- Strong influence by advocacy group (10,000 Friends of Pennsylvania)
- Temporary program using Secretary's discretionary funds
- 2009: 50 projects, \$59 million; 2011: 41 projects, \$25
 million

PennDOT PCTI - program elements

- Eligible areas: not restricted
- Eligible projects: not restricted
- Plan requirement: no
- Scoring criteria: how project implements a Smart Transportation principle



PennDOT PCTI - experience

- Quality of applications mixed
- Some projects selected not the "smartest" including highway operational improvements
- PennDOT hoped MPOs would adopt spinoff programs
- PennDOT had not yet adopted smart growth performance measures



Lancaster County MPO

Smart Growth Transportation Program (SGT)



Lancaster Co SGT - background

- County has very progressive planning program
- County = MPO area
- Some members wanted a better "smart growth" focus for transportation funds, created a Smart Growth Transportation Task Force
- Brought in consultant help
- Borrowed ideas from TCDI and PCTI



Lancaster Co SGT - program elements

- Eligible areas: Designated growth areas in the county plan
- Eligible projects: Only 20% for planning projects; sample list
- Plan requirements: Consistent with county and local plans (municipality must have a plan)
- Scoring criteria: supports development in growth area, increases mobility options, context sensitive design, implements a study



Lancaster Co SGT - experience

- First round of applications due this fall; expect to be very selective
- MPO may consider program evaluation in the future
- Keys to successful launch: strong local leadership + expert help



Lessons

- No performance measures for outcomes
- Some performance measures for implementation
- Careful targeting of communities and project types can promote better outcomes
- Diffusion of best practices does work!
- Need more program evaluation reports with more emphasis on outcomes



Recommendations for new targeted livable communities programs

- 1. Have a strong link to your plan
- 2. Carefully define target communities
- 3. Carefully define target projects
- 4. Be selective
- 5. Cultivate strong local leadership
- 6. Obtain expert support
- 7. Never underestimate the lack of information



For more information contact:

Mark Stout
609-439-3382
mark@mlstoutconsulting.com
www.mlstoutconsulting.com

